

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/768,291	WEISS, THOMAS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha	1621
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI	DIVISSET TO EXDIDE 1 M	
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become AB/	aply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
11) I he bath of declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 		119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 		
application from the International Bur	-	received in this reactional oldyc
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	•	received.
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	•	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
 2) Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB, Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Ø

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-17 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group 1. A compound of the formula (I) wherein Nu¹ is O according to claims 1-

4, classified in class 502, subclass 150+.

Group 2. A compound of the formula (I) wherein Nu¹ is S according to claims 1-

4, classified in class 502, subclass 150+.

Group 3. A compound of the formula (I) wherein Nu¹ is Se according to claims 1-

4, classified in class 502, subclass 150+.

Group 4. A compound of the formula (I) wherein Nu¹ is PR^a according to claims

1-4, classified in class 502, subclass 150+.

Group 5. A compound of the formula (I) wherein Nu¹ is NR^a according to claims

1-4, classified in class 502, subclass 150+.

Group 6. A compound of the formula (I) wherein Nu¹ is CO₂ according to claims

1-4, classified in class 502, subclass 150+.

- **Group 7**. A process for the preparation of the compound of general formula (I) wherein Nu¹ is O according to claims 5-9, classified in class 502, subclass 150+.
- **Group 8**. A process for the preparation of the compound of general formula (I) wherein Nu¹ is S according to claims 5-9, classified in class 502, subclass 150+.

- **Group 9**. A process for the preparation of the compound of general formula (I) wherein Nu¹ is Se according to claims 5-9, classified in class 502, subclass 150+.
- **Group 10**. A process for the preparation of the compound of general formula (I) wherein Nu¹ is PR^a according to claims 5-9, classified in class 502, subclass 150+.
- **Group 11**. A process for the preparation of the compound of general formula (I) wherein Nu¹ is NR^a according to claims 5-9, classified in class 502, subclass 150+.
- **Group 12**. A process for the preparation of the compound of general formula (I) wherein Nu¹ is CO₂ according to claims 5-9, classified in class 502, subclass 150+.
- **Group 13**. A process for the preparation of olefin (co)polymer according to claims 10-14, classified in class 534, subclass 692+.
- **Group 14**. The reaction products prepared according to claims 15, classified in class 534, subclass 692+.
- **Group 15**. An olefin (co)polymer according to claim 16, classified in class 534, subclass 692+.
- **Group 16**. A molded parts according to claim 17, classified in class 534, subclass 692+.

The inventions of Groups 1-16 are independent and patentably distinct because there is no patentable co-action among the sixteen groups and a reference anticipating one member will not render the other obvious.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and as shown by their different classification, a search of the sixteen groups designated above would impose an undue burden upon the examiner, and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is therefor proper.

Inventions Groups 1-6, 14-16 and Groups 7-13 are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as the process disclose in WO 00/56785 and WO 0192347.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

A telephone call was made to Jennifer Seng on 6/27/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha whose telephone number is 571-272-2908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann R. Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1621

 Johann R. Richter, Ph.D., Esq.
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Technology Center 1600